CONGRESSIONAL WOOD , UKD

The First Accusations That the United States Had Encouraged the Revolt of the French Generals in Algeria Were Printed in Soviet Newspapers and Broadcast by the Moscow Radio

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. HARRIS B. McDOWELL, JR.

OF DELAWARE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES * Tuesday, May 2, 1961

Mr. McDOWELL. Mr. Speaker, the first accusations that the United States had encouraged the revolt of the French generals in Algeria were printed in Soviet newspapers and broadcast by the Moscow radio.

The New York Times reports this morning that-

Allen W. Dulles, Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, checked with his sub-ordinates and gave an unqualified guarantee that none of his agents had given any en-couragement to the rebels in France. Spain, Algeria, or elsewhere

After consulting Mr. Dulles, Secretary of State Dean Rusk assured the French Am-bassador, Herve Alphand, last week that no U.S. representatives had been involved in any way with the rebels.

When the rumors persisted, he repeated this assurance yesterday to the Ambassador. Today Mr. Dulles departed from his cus-tom and issued a personal denial of reports that some of his officials had been involved.

We, and the world, were assured by Mr. Dulles that-

The reports in Prayda, Isvestia, and the French press are all without basis in fact.

In view of the continuing rumors it is my belief that the Congress must take steps to end them once and for all, and to give assurances to everyone concerned that the United States was not involved, nor were any of its agents involved in the revolt of the French generals which fortunately collapsed.

I am convinced that the Congress can no longer shirk its responsibility to inform itself fully on intelligence matters.

On April 27 Senator Eugene McCarrey introduced for himself and Senators Anderson, Morse, Clark, Metcalf, Bur-dick, Bartlett, and McNamara, and perhaps others, Senate Joint Resolution 77 to establish a Joint Committee on Foreign Information and Intelligence. In introducing the measure Senator Mc-Carthy told his colleagues that—

The joint resolution is not, directly or The joint resolution is not, directly or indirectly, meant to express any criticism of this administration or of any past administration, but basically, to reflect what I consider to be a proper responsibility on the part of the Mambers of the U.S. Congress to accept responsibility in this field, to be informed, and to be involved when major policy decisions are called for.

And Senator McCarrier added the following incontrovertible point

Under the Constitution, Congress is called upon to participate in a declaration of war. In modern times, war is not declared. Congress, therefore, has a continuing and very substantial responsibility for policy decisions with regard to the cold war or conducting foreign policy by any other means.

The measure introduced by Senator McCarrer is similar in purpose and substance to my own House Joint Resolution 250. I am in complete agreement with Senator McCarray when he says that

It is my hope the joint recognition will be considered and, in some form, adopted, so that the machinery and procedures which are the constitutional responsibility of Congrees may be exercised.

I include here, as part of my remarks, two articles from the New York Times of May 2, 1961:

PARTS RUMORS OF CENTRAL Luza AGENCY-DESPITE PORK U.S. DENIALA SPEC-ULATION PRINCETS AGENCY ATMS ALGUME RAYOLE Bayout:

(By Thomas F. Bredy)

(By. Thomas F. Bredy)

Panis, May 1.—Now that the Franch mutiny of the generals may be a thing of the past, the question whether the United States helped save the day for President de Gaulle is perhaps less important than what is believed in France, in North Aries and elsewiare about the U.S. role in the events. Former Gen. Maurice Challe, leader of the mutiny, hoped for U.S. support and said so publicly, but President Kennedy, quickly sent a message pledging full support to Fresident de Gaulle. sent a massage pledging full support to President de Gaulle.

President de Gaulle,
Immediately after the collapse of the mutiny, President Kennedy publish, expressed his enteraction and congratulated President de Gaulle. The position of the U.S. Government was never in doubt.

These facts have not, however, prevented the wide circulation, and at least partial acceptance here and in North Africs, of rumors that General Challe and his fellow mutineers had received specific encouragement from U.S. intelligence agents. U.S. intelligence agents.

Emphatic official denials from UE, suther-ities have not put a stop to the russes.

tes have not put a stop to the remain of the Moreon official has senied them. French comment has been decidedly equivocal a news conference Saturday night in Ales Louis Jose, Prench Minis

id— "I do not know wheth "I do not know whether foreign also couraged the insurrectional movement whether those responsible for the out feed from Zertien subsidies. This is among branching to among themselves to subsidies to try to find his whether the insure calved foreign and."

E'the ru

entourage and perhaps inspired by him, is to blame the Americans. Repeated American denials that any American military or civilian officials encouraged General Challe's rebelien have not succeeded in preventing French official spokesmen from telling journalists there must have been some unofficial American backing.

The rumors, which include at least one written report circulating here, repeated speculation in the French press, a dispatch from Washington to the Tunisian weekly

from Washington to the Tunisian weekly Afrique-Action and widespread speculation in leftwing circles, boil down to this: Fresident Esnnedy is said to have reacted as he did because he had learned of encour-agement to the mutineers by the Central Intelligence Agency, which is said to have be-come a reactionary state-within-a-state in the United States.

U.S. agents are said to have encouraged the

mutiny either because they feared commu-nism in the ranks of the Algerian Rebel Na-tional Liberation Front, with which Presi-dant de Gaulle is expected to negotiate Algarian independence, or because they hoped to precipitate the downfall of President de Gaulie and thus eliminate, his opposition to integration of the forces of the North Atlantio Treaty Organization.

MESTINGS WITH AGENTS ALLEGED

U.S. sympathy for the movement is said to have begun as early as last December, when Jacques Scustelle, a former Covernor General of Algeria and a foe of President de Gaulle's policies, was reported to have had lunch with Richard M. Bissell, Jr., a CIA official.

At a meeting in Madrid on April 12 or 13, a U.S. agent is said to have told Gen. Raoul Salan, one of the mutineers, that the United States would recognize a new government in France within 48 hours after its successful establishment if there were no attack on Tunisia or Morocco.

The speculation does not take cognizance of the fact that former General Challe spent nearly a year as a North Atlantic Treaty Or-ganization commander at the Fontainehleau nearly a year as a nown amanus arossy or-ganization commander at the Fontainehleau headquarters near here. He undoubtedly heard frequent and bitter criticism, of Presi-dent de Gaulle by allied officers who dis-agreed with his policies on NATO. The possibility is cited that M. Challe was guilty of wishful thinking and believed the attitudes of the military leaders reflected the attitudes of the military leaders reflected the

political thinking of the allied governments.

No matter what the source of the rumors may be, no matter how false they may be, their existence is a fact. The dredence they town statements in a last. The credence they have gained, despite US, denials, is considered a serious threat to French-United States relations and to the practice of the United States among the Algerian nationalists and in north Africa, in general.

The equivocation with which French officials have treated the rumors has been re-parted as a major factor in their propaga-tion.

UNITED STATES IS CONCERNED BY PARIS RUMORS (By Wallace Carroll)

Wannesrow, May 1,-The U.S. Governman, is becoming concerned over the per-sistence, of newspaper reports and rumors in France, that spendome from this country empirically the April 34 meeting of Franch penership in Algerta.

the French it is feared here, may